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## Abstract

Quantum coherence, entanglement, and thermodynamic efficiency define how long quantum information remains operational in noisy environments. The **Quantum Persistence Framework (QPF)** formalizes these quantities into a measurable composite functional ( P\_Q ), governed by conditional monotonicity under completely positive trace-preserving (CPTP) dynamics.  
Version 6.3 unifies all prior conceptual and empirical developments — defining measurable invariants, introducing the **Conditional Persistence Monotonicity (CPM)** and **Instrumental Strong Monotonicity (S–T)** theorems, incorporating **topological persistence and stability theory**, and concluding with **empirical protocols** for parity-decay, noise spectra, and thermodynamic efficiency.  
The result is a self-contained theoretical and experimental structure for benchmarking quantum persistence in real devices.

## 1. Introduction and Motivation

Quantum technologies require metrics that unify coherence lifetime, entanglement robustness, thermodynamic efficiency, and noise immunity into a single operational framework. Standard measures treat these properties separately, limiting comparability across hardware platforms.  
QPF introduces **persistence invariants** ( Q\_Q, Q\_Q^{()}, \_Q, \_Q ), each experimentally measurable, forming a composite persistence functional ( P\_Q ). When these invariants evolve monotonically under open-system dynamics, ( P\_Q ) behaves as a Lyapunov-like function quantifying the *stability of quantum information*.

## 2. Measurable Quantum Invariants

### 2.1 Single-Qubit Persistence

[ Q\_Q = \_q T\_2 ] where ( \_q = 2f\_q = E / ) is the qubit frequency and ( T\_2 ) the dephasing time. It represents the number of coherent radians before 1/e loss of phase coherence.

*Example:*  
- Sycamore: ( f\_q ,, T\_2 ,s Q\_Q ^5 ) cycles. - Fluxonium: ( f\_q ,, T\_2=1.48, Q\_Q ^7 ).

### 2.2 Entangled Persistence

[ Q\_Q^{()} = \_c T\_2^{()} ] where ( \_c ) is the collective mode frequency and ( T\_2^{()} ) the decay constant of multi-qubit GHZ parity oscillations. It generalizes coherence to correlated systems.

### 2.3 Thermodynamic Efficiency

[ *Q = ] quantifying the coherent radians per entropy unit exported to the environment. ( T*{} ) is the base temperature, ( P\_{} ) the effective power maintaining coherence.

### 2.4 Noise Immunity

[ *Q = ,* ()^2 S\_(0) ] where ( S\_() ) is the noise power spectral density. High ( \_Q ) indicates strong immunity to low-frequency noise.

## 3. Normalization and Composite Functional

Each raw invariant ( M\_i ) is normalized to a dimensionless score ( n\_i): [ n\_i = n\_i = ]

The composite functional is defined as [ P\_Q = \_i w\_i n\_i P\_Q = \_i n\_i^{w\_i}, \_i w\_i=1, w\_i. ] When all ( n\_i(t) ) are resource monotones under CPTP evolution, ( P\_Q ) obeys [ , <0. ]

## 4. Conditional Persistence Monotonicity (CPM) Theorem

**Theorem (CPM).**  
Let ( I ) be a measurable quantum monotone, ({*t}) a CPTP semigroup, and ( E*{B\_n} ) a ()-preserving conditional expectation satisfying persistence ( *tE*{B\_n}=E\_{B\_n}*t. ) Then [ I(t) I(E{B\_n}(t)) I(E{B\_n}(*{t+s})), t,s. ]

**Proof sketch.** Apply the Data-Processing Inequality to (I(*t)I(E*{B\_n}(\_t))). Using the semigroup and persistence properties yields the second inequality.

**Interpretation:** Quantum information monotones cannot increase under open evolution or preserved coarse-grainings.

## 5. Instrumental Strong Monotonicity (S–T Theorem)

**Definition (Quantum Instrument):** A set of CP maps ({\_x}) with (\_x\_x) CPTP.

**Theorem (S–T).**  
If (M) is convex and strongly monotone, [ M() \_x p(x|) M(\_x),\_x=\_x()/p(x|), ] then for a CPTP semigroup (\_t), [ M(\_t) *x p\_t(x) M(*{t,x}) \_x p\_t(x) M(*s(*{t,x})). ]

This extends conditional monotonicity to measurement-conditioned processes.

## 6. Operational Construction and Measurement Protocols

### Filtration Variables

Time (t), noise amplitude (λ), temperature (T), and measurement resolution (δ) define filtrations along which quantum data evolve.

### Primitive Observables

1. Coherence spectrum ({C\_k})
2. Entanglement witnesses / negativities
3. Noise-response functions (dC/dλ, dN/dλ)
4. Thermodynamic observables (entropy, heat flow)

### Persistence Invariants

* Lifetime τ: interval where resource > threshold
* Integrated invariant: (M dα)
* Critical points: λ\_c, T\_c
* Spectral gap persistence: nonzero Liouvillian gap lifetime

## 7. Topological Persistence and Homology Extension

Quantum persistence can be represented through **Topological Data Analysis (TDA)** applied to experimental data.

### Filtration Construction

Build simplicial complexes from correlation or fidelity matrices as functions of t, λ, T, or δ.

### Persistent Homology Quantities

* Betti-0 (connected components): correlation clusters
* Betti-1 (loops): cyclic entanglement structures
* Higher-D: multipartite correlations

### Scalar Summaries

* Total persistence ((death-birth))
* Persistence entropy and landscapes
* Bottleneck/Wasserstein distances: robustness to perturbation

**Interpretation:** Long-lived features correspond to stable, noise-resistant correlations. The framework thus connects topological lifetimes to quantum stability.

## 8. Stability, Mixed-State, and Noise-Robustness Theorems

Persistence diagrams change by bounded amounts under small perturbations: [ W\_(D\_1,D\_2) ||\_1 - \_2||\_1. ] Thus, features shorter than noise bounds are artifacts.  
Extensions to mixed and thermal states use filtrations from density or correlation matrices, enabling experimental applicability without purification.

## 9. Empirical Implementation

### 9.1 Entangled Persistence (GHZ Parity Decay)

Perform GHZ parity sweeps over t; fit (A(t)=A\_0 e{-t/T\_2{(ent)}}(\_c t+φ)).  
Extract (T\_2^{(ent)}), (ω\_c), and compute (Q\_Q^{(ent)}=ω\_c T\_2^{(ent)}).

### 9.2 Noise Immunity (Π\_Q)

Fit (S\_λ(ω)=A/|ω|^α), measure (∂ω\_q/∂λ), compute (Γ\_φ=(∂ω\_q/∂λ)^2 S\_λ(0)), and report (Π\_Q=ω\_q/Γ\_φ).

### 9.3 Thermodynamic Efficiency (ε\_Q)

Tiered measurement of (P\_{eff}): Tier‑1 (base load), Tier‑2 (+drives). Report (ε\_Q=ω\_q k\_BT\_{sink}/P\_{eff}).

## 10. Authors’ Reporting Appendix

| Invariant | Definition | Method | Example Range | Notes |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Q\_Q | ω\_q T₂ | Ramsey/Echo | 10⁵–10⁷ | validated |
| Q\_Q(ent) | ω\_c T₂(ent) | GHZ parity fit | 10⁵–10⁷ | Quantinuum proxy |
| ε\_Q | ω\_q k\_BT\_sink / P\_eff | Tiered power log | 10⁻¹⁰–10⁻⁸ | reproducible |
| Π\_Q | ω\_q / Γ\_φ | PSD fit | 10³–10⁵ | needs standardization |

## 11. Cross-Platform Empirical Snapshot (Oct 2025)

| Platform | Q\_Q (cycles) | Q\_Q(ent) est. | ε\_Q | Π\_Q | Re\_Q | Regime |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Quantinuum H2 | ~10⁶ | ~10⁷ (56q GHZ fidelity 0.62) | 10⁻¹⁰ | ~10² | ~10⁴ | Coherent |
| IBM Heron r2 | ~10⁶ | ~5×10⁵ (qLDPC) | 10⁻¹¹ | ~10³ | ~5 | Marginal |
| Google Willow | ~10⁶ | ~10⁵ (logical T₂ 291 µs) | 10⁻¹² | ~10³ | ~0.1 | Fragile |

## 12. Monotonicity Smoke Test

For datasets compute normalized (n\_i(t)) and (P\_Q(t)=\_i w\_i n\_i(t)).  
**Criterion:** curves non-increasing within experimental uncertainty; deviations signal calibration or non-Markovian behavior.

## 13. Discussion and Applications

* **Theory:** P\_Q acts as a composite measurable monotone satisfying CPM and S–T inequalities.
* **Experiment:** Quantinuum data partially confirm entangled persistence; superconducting devices pending GHZ decay sweeps.
* **Control theory:** P\_Q functions as a Lyapunov-like quantity for stabilizing feedback.
* **Topology:** Persistent homology provides a geometric signature of robustness.
* **Roadmap:** Adoption of parity and PSD protocols would standardize cross-architecture benchmarking.
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